Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/849,611	SWENSON ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Susan T. Tran	1615
All Participants:	Status of Application	: <u>allowable</u>
(1) <u>Susan T. Tran</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>John M. Guynn</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 5 January 2006	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Note of the Shown of Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Note of Types ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:  Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE	GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief set</li> </ul>	ion. The examiner will provide a vocation of the substance of	written summary of the substance f the interview, since the interview
(2)		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Ap	oplicant/Applicant's Representativ	/e Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: to place this application in condition for allowance, it was suggested to: 1) to amend "bioactive substance" to include glucosamine; 2) amend the specification to to include the limitation "about 1 hour to about 3 hours" (support can be found in original claim 22); 3) incorporate the limitation "about 1 hour to about 3 hours" into claim 33; and 4) cancel claims 2 and 24. Applicant's attorney authorized the Examiner's Amendment.